

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/007,448	11/07/2001	David Lewis	Mirus.030.03	3784	
25032 7:	590 . 11/29/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MIRUS CORPORATION			GIBBS, TERRA C		
505 SOUTH ROMADISON, W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Whibioon, w			1635		
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/007,448	11/7/01	Lewis et al.	Mirus.030.03	
. 70011 1 10	<i>y</i> • •		EXAMINER .	
			Terra C. Gibbs	
	·		ART UNIT	PAPER
			1635	11292006
	•		DATE MAILED:	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Applicant's Amendment and Remarks filed September 9, 2005 and May 25, 2006 are acknowledged. However, it is noted that Applicant's response does not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) which states, "In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to the Office action. The reply by the applicant or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the Examiner's action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action". It is noted that in the prior Office Action mailed July 27, 2005, claims 1, 3-6, 8, and 13-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graham et al. (Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, 1998 Vol. 286:447-458). In Applicant's Amendment and Remarks filed September 9, 2005 and May 25, 2006, Applicants have not replied to this grounds of rejection.

For any response to be considered fully responsive, Applicants must reply to every ground(s) of rejection in the prior Office Action as required by 37 CFR 1.111(b).

See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned response appears to be bona fide, Applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Sua C. Sal

Terra C. Gibbs November 29, 2006